## Exhibit A

February 26, 2021

```
1
               IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
 2
                          AUSTIN DIVISION
    Students For Fair
 3
    Admissions, INC,
                                  )
 4
                   Plaintiff,
 5
                                    CIVIL ACTION
    VS.
                                    NO.: 1:20 cv 00763 RP
 6
    UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT
 7
    AUSTIN, ET AL.,
 8
                   Defendants.
 9
                ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
10
11
                         FEBRUARY 26, 2021
12
13
                             VOLUME 1
                      *ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY*
14
15
16
         ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
    produced as a witness at the instance of the DEFENDANT,
17
18
    and duly sworn, was taken in the above styled and
    numbered cause on February 26, 2021, from 7:36 a.m. to
19
    2:23 p.m. via Zoom, before Miah Hoffman, CSR in and for
20
    the State of Texas, reported by oral stenography,
21
    pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the
22
   provisions stated on the record or attached hereto.
23
24
25
```

February 26, 2021 Page 2

```
1
                       APPEARANCES
 2
    FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
         THOMAS MCCARTHY
 3
         CONSOVOY MCCARTHY PLLC
         1600 Wilson Boulevard, STE 700
 4
         Arlington, Virginia, 22209
         Phone: (703)243 9423
 5
         Tom@consovoymccarthy.com
 6
 7
    FOR THE DEFENDANTS UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN:
 8
         MATTHEW C. POWERS
         GRAVES DOUGHERTY, HEARON &
 9
         MOODY, P.C.
         401 Congress Avenue, Suite 2700
         Austin, Texas 78701
10
         Phone: (512) 480 5725
11
         Fax: (512)539 9938
         mpowers@qdhm.com
12
    FOR THE DEFENDANTS UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN:
13
14
         JOHN J. (MIKE) MCKETTA III
         GRAVES DOUGHERTY, HEARON &
         MOODY, P.C.
15
         401 Congress Avenue, Suite 2700
16
         Austin, Texas 78701
         Phone: (512) 480 5725
17
         Fax: (512) 539 9938
         mmcketta@qdhm.com
18
    FOR THE DEFENDANTS INTERVENORS:
19
         DAVID HINOJOSA
20
         LAWYERS' COMMITTEE FOR CIVIL
         RIGHTS UNDER LAW
21
         1500 K. Street, NW, Suite 900
         Washington, D.C. 20005
22
         Phone: (202)662 8600
         Fax: (202) 783 0857
23
         dhinojosa@lawyerscommittee.org
24
25
```

February 26, 2021 Page 3

1	APPEARANCES
2	FOR THE DEFENDANTS INTERVENORS:
3	CARTER C. SIMPSON HUNTON ANDREWS KURTH LLP
4	2200 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, NW Washington, D.C. 20037
5	Phone: (202) 955 1850 csimpson@huntonak.com
6	Cs1mpson@nanconax.com
7	ALSO PRESENT:
8	
9	JOSEPH (JODY) HUGHES: Associate Vice President For
10	Legal Affairs (UT)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	INDEX

CONFIDENTIAL February 26, 2021
Page 4

			5
1			Page
2	Appe	arances	2
3			
4		Examination by Mr. Powers	
5			
6	Sign	ature and Changes	183
7	Repo	rter's Certificate	185
8			
9		EXHIBITS	
10	NO.	DESCRIPTION	Page
11	1	Exhibit 1	50
12	2	Exhibit 2 Exhibit 3	55 60
13	4 5	Exhibit 4 Exhibit 27	60 83
	6	Exhibit 28	103
14	7 8	Exhibit 29 Exhibit 30	129 131
15	9	Exhibit 31	157
16			
17		CERTIFIED QUESTIONS PAGE/LINE	
18		rage/ Hine	
19		148/17159/7165/4175/11	
20		150/15159/16165/15176/4 151/7160/3166/14177/11	
21		151/19162/1167/20177/23 153/23162/11168/16178/10	
22		153/19163/9168/24178/20 154/19163/22169/7	
23		158/3164/4173/15	
24			
25		PROCEEDINGS	

February 26, 2021 Page 5

1	REPORTER: We are on the record. Today's
2	date is February 26th, 2021. The time is 7:36 a.m.
3	This is the oral deposition of and it is
4	being conducted remotely by agreement of the parties or
5	in accordance with current emergency orders.
6	The witness is attending from the law office of Consovoy
7	and McCarthy located at 1600 Wilson, Arlington, Virginia
8	22209.
9	My name is Miah Hoffman. CSR number
10	11773 with Kim Tindall and Associates. I am
11	administering the oath and recording the deposition
12	remotely by oral stenographic means. My business
13	address is 16414 San Pedro Avenue, Suite 900, San
14	Antonio, Texas 78232.
15	The witness has been identified to me
16	through his U.S. passport. Would counsel please state
17	their appearances for the record?
18	MR. POWERS: Matt Powers for the
19	defendants.
20	MR. MCKETTA: Mike McKetta also for the
21	defendants.
22	MR. HINOJOSA: David Hinojosa for the
23	student intervenors.
24	MS. SIMPSON: Carter Simpson for the
25	student intervenors.

February 26, 2021 Page 6

1 MR. MCCARTHY: Thomas McCarthy from 2 Consovoy McCarthy for Student For Fair Admissions, Inc. 3 (Witness duly sworn.) 4 having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: 5 EXAMINATION 6 BY MR. POWERS: 8 MR. POWERS: Mr. McCarthy, is it okay to 9 proceed? Did you want to say anything on the record 10 before we get started? 11 MR. MCCARTHY: Thanks, Mr. Powers. Ι Consistent with, I think, our practice in the 12 would. 13 other depositions in this case, I'd like to put a few 14 stipulations on the record and I'll -- I'll do so now. 15 Thanks. During this deposition it's possible that the 16 17 University of Texas, or the intervenors, will ask 18 questions that SFFA believes infringe upon the First 19 Amendment and associational rights of SFFA and its 2.0 members. SFFA may instruct the witness not to answer a question on these grounds. If a disagreement arises as 21 22 to whether this instruction is proper, the parties agree 23 to do their best to resolve the disagreement during the 24 deposition or, if necessary, before the Court. SFFA 25 agrees that UT and the intervenors may have the right to leave the deposition open to recall the witness.

In addition, the Court has entered a scheduling order limiting the topics of this deposition. The Court's scheduling order states that right now none of the discovery shall be permitted on any issue other than the issues of jurisdiction or claim preclusion. Last, consistent with our practice in this case, SFFA is designating the entire transcript as for counsel, attorneys' eyes only. Thanks very much, Mr. Powers.

MR. POWERS: Thanks, Mr. McCarthy.

- Q. (BY MR. POWERS) please state your name.
  - A.
  - Q. This is not your first deposition, correct?
- 15 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

19

2.0

21

22

- 16 Q. Is it your first deposition over Zoom?
- 17 A. Yes.
  - Q. So one of the things I want to flag is that during the course of the day, as with any deposition, if I ask a question that's poorly worded or otherwise unclear that you let me know and I'll clarify that.
    - A. Okay.
- Q. And, in addition, we have the special circumstance, because we're connected remotely, over Zoom, if at any point there's a break in the connection

```
1
    there to speak it in my own opinion, but we'll go beyond
 2
    that.
           This deposition isn't about --
 3
                   THE WITNESS: On national TV though.
    was on -- it was on national TV as I recall, but yeah,
 4
    all right. It's -- this is about me, this is not about
 5
    you, but --
 6
 7
                                   Sure.
                                          And this is --
                   MR. HINOJOSA:
                   THE WITNESS:
                                 You introduced yourself and
 8
    I did remember you from that -- from that particular
 9
10
    show.
              (BY MR. HINOJOSA) All right. Well, I
11
         Q.
12
    appreciate that. So my name is David Hinojosa again and
13
    I'm gonna be asking you some questions and I just want
14
    to make -- make sure certain things are clear and that
15
    is that you're here testifying on behalf of SFFA; is
16
    that correct?
17
         Α.
              Yes.
18
              And you're not here testifying in your own
19
    individual capacity, correct?
2.0
         Α.
              Yes.
21
              And SSFA is the plaintiff in this case suing
22
    UT, correct?
23
         Α.
              Yes.
24
              All right. So did you say earlier that it was
         Q.
    the board that voted to bring this lawsuit?
25
```

```
1
                   MR. MCCARTHY:
                                  Objection form.
 2
                   THE WITNESS:
                                  Yes, sorry.
 3
                   MR. HINOJOSA:
                                   Okay.
 4
                   THE WITNESS:
                                  Sorry, yes.
 5
         Ο.
              (BY MR. HINOJOSA) And you also mentioned I
    think, previously that SFFA relies on its members who
 6
    have been impacted by the litigation to bring these
    types of lawsuits; is that correct?
 8
                                   Objection form.
 9
                   MR. MCCARTHY:
10
                   THE WITNESS:
                                  SFFA has members who have
11
    been rejected by these various universities and SFFA
12
    then acts in our organizational capacity to challenge
13
    those programs.
14
              (BY MR. HINOJOSA) So such as, you know, when
         Ο.
15
    you challenged Harvard you had certain individuals who
    were rejected by Harvard's admissions plan and then on
16
17
    behalf of those members as -- as an association you sued
18
    Harvard, correct?
19
                   MR. MCCARTHY: Objection form.
2.0
                   THE WITNESS:
                                  Correct.
21
              (BY MR. HINOJOSA) And the same thing applies
         Ο.
    at UNC, Yale, and UT?
22
23
                                   Objection form.
                   MR. MCCARTHY:
24
                   THE WITNESS:
                                  Correct.
               (BY MR.HINOJOSA) All right. And you conduct
25
         Q.
```

```
1
    outreach in the public for membership; is that right?
 2
         Α.
              Yes.
 3
              And you're also seeking to identify persons
 4
    who might help SFFA file a lawsuit, correct?
 5
                   MR. MCCARTHY:
                                  Objection form.
                   THE WITNESS:
 6
                                 Yes.
              (BY MR. HINOJOSA) So I'm gonna bring up -- let
         Ο.
    me -- I have a few exhibits here. I'm gonna start with
    -- hold on right quick, sorry. All right. Sorry about
10
    that I was in the wrong folder. Just make sure I get
11
    this in the record. This is Exhibit -- what we're gonna
12
    mark as Exhibit 029 and it's UT deposition of the
13
    corporate -- corporate representative of Students For
14
    Fair Admissions and you have received this and you are
15
    here pursuant to this deposition notice, correct?
                   (Exhibit No. 029, marked.)
16
17
              I'm afraid I don't know what you're -- what
         Α.
18
    you're sharing --
19
         Q.
              Oh.
              -- I don't -- I don't
2.0
21
              You know what, sorry about that let me redo
         Ο.
22
    this.
23
                   MR. MCCARTHY:
                                  Counsel, are you referring
24
    to the notice that Mr. Powers started off the deposition
25
    with?
```

```
1
                   MR. HINOJOSA: Yeah, but I don't think he
 2
    entered it as an exhibit.
 3
                   MR. POWERS: That's correct. I did not.
 4
                   MR. MCCARTHY:
                                  Just want to make sure
    we're talking about the same document.
 5
                                  Yes.
                   MR. HINOJOSA:
                                         Okay.
 6
                                                I just sent
 7
    it to you. Let me know when you've received it and
 8
    opened it and that's Exhibit 029.
                                 I received it; I know what
 9
                   THE WITNESS:
10
    it is.
11
         Q.
              (BY MR. HINOJOSA) Okay. And you're here to
12
    testify in pursuant to this notice, correct?
13
         Α.
              That's correct.
14
              And attached to Exhibit 029 on Page 4, is
         Ο.
15
    Exhibit A, the deposition topics and those are the
16
    deposition topics that you agreed to testify here today,
17
    correct?
18
              I am looking at Page 4 deposition topics, yes.
19
         Ο.
              All right. And among those includes "The
2.0
    basis for any claim that any individual member of SFFA
21
    has or would have standing to raise the challenge as
22
    asserted by SSFA in the present lawsuit." That's Number
23
    11.
24
              I see that.
         Α.
25
         Ο.
              Is that correct? You're here prepared to
```

1 testify about that today, correct? 2 Α. Yes. 3 Okay. So I just wanted to make sure I took 4 care of that logistical thing. I wanted to get back to 5 the discussion that we were offering about some of the outreach that SFFA does and I'm uploading another 6 exhibit which we're gonna identify as Exhibit 030. And just so you know this is a document that was -- that was 8 sent to us in response to UT's request for production 9 10 and let me know once you've received that and opened 11 Exhibit 030. And for the record the Bates stamp on this is SFFA UT 00179. 12 13 (Exhibit No. 030, marked.) 14 THE WITNESS: I have -- let's see if I 15 can read this stamp. Well, I can't -- I can't read the 16 stamp, but I see it is a website that is styled UT Not 17 Fair. 18 (BY MR. HINOJOSA) UT Not Fair is that funded and operated by SFFA? 19 2.0 MR. MCCARTHY: Objection form. 21 THE WITNESS: No. No. 22 (BY MR. HINOJOSA) Who operates the UT Not Fair Ο. site? 23 24 The UT Not Fair was originally launched by the Α.

Project on Fair Representation. I don't know that the

25

UT Not Fair site is still active.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

- Q. Okay. And so what forms of communication does SFFA use in trying to recruit persons to SFFA who have been denied admission at certain universities?
- A. Basically there are two things that we do.

  One, is we maintain a website and the second, is that I

  -- I occasionally will give speeches and participate in

  media events in which I encourage people to visit our

  website and join our organization.
  - Q. You do any social media outreach as SFFA?
- A. We -- we have a Facebook page that I know -- I know is maintained by our -- our database vendor. We have a Twitter account that I'm not at all familiar with, other than that I don't believe we have anything else.
- Q. And do you recall what form of communication resulted in and and --

18 to approach you?

MR. MCCARTHY: Objection form.

THE WITNESS: I'm --

MR. MCCARTHY: Objection. Just caution

22 | the witness to allow counsel to rise objections.

23 Objection form. Objection First Amendment and

24 associational privileges. I just want to caution the

25 | witness not to disclose any communications with SFFA's

```
1
   members. You can answer the question while abiding that
 2
    instruction then you may do so.
 3
                   THE WITNESS:
                                 Thank you, Mr. McCarthy.
    Through our website.
 4
              (BY MR. HINOJOSA) Through your website, okay.
 5
                       and
                            were they also the members
 6
    Were -- was
    of SFFA who SFFA relied upon for standing in the prior
    2019 state lawsuit?
 8
 9
                   MR. MCCARTHY: Objection form.
10
                   THE WITNESS: Yes.
11
         0.
              (BY MR. HINOJOSA) Were there any other members
12
    of SFFA at that time that you -- you were bringing the
13
    29 -- '19 lawsuit that you were relying on for standing?
14
                   MR. MCCARTHY: Objection form.
15
                   THE WITNESS:
                                 No.
16
              (BY MR. HINOJOSA) And currently SFFA has no
         0.
17
    other members who have applied to UT and been rejected
18
    for which it is asserting that it has standing in this
19
    case --
20
                   MR. MCCARTHY: Objection.
21
          Q. (BY MR. HINOJOSA) -- is that correct?
22
                   MR. MCCARTHY: I'm sorry, counsel.
23
    Objection form.
24
                   THE WITNESS: Yes.
25
         Q.
              (BY MR. HINOJOSA) And SFFA doesn't presently
```

```
1
    have any members who are Asian American who were denied
 2
    admission to UT Austin for whom SFFA claims
 3
    associational standing in this case, correct?
 4
                   MR. MCCARTHY:
                                   Objection form.
                   THE WITNESS:
                                  Correct.
 5
              (BY MR. HINOJOSA) And I believe this is your
 6
         Ο.
    -- one of four current lawsuits that you have
    challenging race-conscious admissions and when I say
 8
    you, I mean, SF -- SFFA.
10
         Α.
              Yes.
              And this is the third lawsuit against UT since
11
         Ο.
    2016, correct?
12
13
         Α.
              Yes.
              And before the lawsuit was filed in this case
14
         Ο.
15
    you had an opportunity to review the lawsuit, correct?
         Α.
16
              Yes.
17
                             ended -- ended up approving
         Ο.
              And
18
    that -- the filing of the lawsuit against UT, correct?
19
                   MR. MCCARTHY: Objection form.
2.0
                   THE WITNESS:
                                  Yes.
21
         Ο.
              (BY MR. HINOJOSA) And it approved the amended
22
    filing of the lawsuit of the current fed -- federal
23
    lawsuit?
24
                   MR. MCCARTHY: Objection form.
25
                                  I just -- I thought I just
                   THE WITNESS:
```

1 answered that question, Mr. Hinojosa. Yes, so 2 approved the filing of the new federal lawsuit. 3 Ο. (BY MR. HINOJOSA) Sure. And I was just making sure because there is an amended lawsuit. You might 4 recall that some state claims SFFA ended up dropping in 5 response to some objections made by UT so I just wanted 6 to make sure that the board had authorized that. Counsel, are you referring 8 MR. MCCARTHY: to an amended claim? 9 10 MR. HINOJOSA: The amended -- amended 11 complaint, yes. 12 MR. MCCARTHY: So not a new lawsuit, but 13 an amended complaint in the current proceeding? 14 MR. HINOJOSA: Yes. 15 MR. MCCARTHY: Okay. Just want to make sure, might help the witness understand and answer the 16 17 question. Objection form. 18 THE WITNESS: So did not 19 approve the amended complaint. 2.0 Ο. (BY MR. HINOJOSA) Okay. Have you had an opportunity to review the amended complaint? 21 22 Objection form. MR. MCCARTHY: 23 THE WITNESS: I've read it once, yes. 24 (BY MR. HINOJOSA) And you're familiar with the Q. claims in the case. 25

1 Α. Yes. And you're also familiar with the -- UT's 2 Ο. 3 admissions process generally the form of the -- the 75 percent that are -- well, let me ask -- let me ask 4 5 you more simple question first. Are you familiar -generally familiar with UT's admissions process that 6 your challenging? 8 MR. MCCARTHY: Objection form. 9 THE WITNESS: Generally, yes. 10 Ο. (BY MR. HINOJOSA) Sure. And you understand 11 that 75 percent of the admissions into UT are made 12 through the top ten percent plan; is that correct? 13 MR. MCCARTHY: Objection form. 14 THE WITNESS: Yes, the top ten percent 15 plan, but it's my understanding now, it's no longer the top two percent that's actually automatically admitted, 16 17 it's six percent. 18 (BY MR. HINOJOSA) Yes, because of the 19 75 percent cap on admissions, is that your 20 understanding? 21 Objection form. MR. MCCARTHY: 22 That's my under -- that's THE WITNESS: my understanding. 23

ten percent plan as a race-neutral admissions plan; is

(BY MR. HINOJOSA) And you identified the top

24

25

Q.

```
1
    that correct?
 2
                   MR. MCCARTHY: Objection form.
 3
                   THE WITNESS:
                                 Yes --
                   MR. MCCARTHY: Objection. Calls for
 4
    legal conclusion.
 5
              (BY MR. HINOJOSA) And you're not contesting --
 6
         Ο.
 7
                   THE WITNESS:
                                 Tom -- excuse me one
    minute. Tom, you're -- you're coming in a little late
    maybe you can speak up a little bit louder because I'm
10
    -- I'm -- I'm -- I appear to be cutting you off before
11
    you've lodged your -- your, yeah.
12
                   MR. MCCARTHY: Please -- please make sure
13
    you leave me time to lodge an objection.
14
                   THE WITNESS: Yeah.
                                         Okav.
15
         Ο.
              (BY MR. HINOJOSA) All right. And you're not
16
    contesting the lawfulness of the top ten percent plan,
17
    correct?
18
         Α.
              Correct.
              For the non-top ten percent factors or for the
19
         Ο.
2.0
    non-top percent plan, you understand that the University
21
    looks at both an academic index and a personal
22
    achievement index?
                                  Objection form.
23
                   MR. MCCARTHY:
24
              (BY MR. HINOJOSA) Let me -- let me restate
         Q.
    that 'cause it was, you know, wrongly formed question
25
```

```
1
                   MR.
                        MCCARTHY: Let's continue.
 2
                   MR. HINOJOSA:
                                  Sure.
 3
         Ο.
              (BY MR. HINOJOSA) So you understand for the
    non -- for -- for the students who are admitted outside
 4
 5
    of the top ten percent through this academic index and
    personal achievement index that UT uses a holistic
 6
    admissions process; is that correct?
 8
                   MR. MCCARTHY: Objection form and
 9
    objection to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion.
10
                   THE WITNESS: I -- I suppose that's
11
    correct.
12
         Ο.
              (BY MR. HINOJOSA) Okay. And in Paragraph 25
13
    -- I can pull this up if you want, but in Paragraph 25
14
    when you -- in the complaint you identify a series of
15
    factors that are part of the achievement score -- let me
    go ahead and pull that up just to make things clearer.
16
17
    Let me know when you pull that up and when you do if you
18
    can go to Paragraph 25?
19
                   MR. MCCARTHY: It's opening a little
20
    slowly for me. Counsel did -- I'm sorry. Did you say
21
    paragraph or Page 25?
22
                   MR. HINOJOSA:
                                 Paragraph 25 it's on
23
    Page 5.
24
                   MR. MCCARTHY: Okay. I'm -- I'm at the
25
    right place.
```

1 MR. MCCARTHY: I'll stand on my objection 2 and I'm gonna instruct the witness not to answer if you're gonna keep asking questions about the merits. 3 4 (BY MR. HINOJOSA) So are you refusing to 5 answer any questions on your level of competence on the different legal claims you've brought against this 6 different -- against UT Austin? On -- on the advice of my counsel. I am not 8 Α. going to be answering those questions. 9 10 Ο. All right. Now, you've brought certain claims 11 against certain universities based on the facts that you have on hand, correct? 12 13 Objection form. MR. MCCARTHY: 14 THE WITNESS: Yes. 15 Q. (BY MR. HINOJOSA) So you brought for example, 16 an intentional discrimination claim against Harvard and 17 now Yale on behalf of Asian-American students, but you 18 did not bring that same claim against UNC or UT Austin, 19 correct? Objection form. 2.0 MR. MCCARTHY: 21 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 22 (BY MR. HINOJOSA) And those claims are tied to 23 the injuries that your members who have applied, have 24 been rejected -- those claims are based on -- on their

perceived injuries, correct?

25

```
1
                   MR. MCCARTHY:
                                 Objection to the extent it
 2
    calls for legal conclusion and objection form.
 3
                   THE WITNESS:
                                 Correct.
         Q.
              (BY MR. HINOJOSA) So in this case you brought
 4
    a claim challenging UT's consideration of race is more
 5
    than a plus factor, correct? It's Count one in your
 6
    lawsuit?
 8
                   MR. MCCARTHY:
                                 Objection form. If you'd
    like to show -- allow him to review the complaint and
 9
10
    answer that's fine.
              (BY MR. HINOJOSA) Let's -- let's go to
11
         Ο.
12
    Page 40.
13
                   MR. MCCARTHY: Standing quickly or we're
14
    gonna have difficulty here.
15
                   MR. HINOJOSA: You have a lot of
    difficulty, Tom
16
17
                                 I don't with anybody else.
                   MR. MCCARTY:
18
                   MR. HINOJOSA: Surprise, surprise.
19
                   MR. MCCARTHY:
                                 Please keep your questions
20
    within the scope of Court's discovery order.
21
                   MR. HINOJOSA:
                                  Sure.
22
                   THE WITNESS:
                                 So on Page 40 I'm going to
    read the first count. UT's Austin's use of racial
23
24
    preferences is not narrowly tailored because UT Austin
    is not pursuing the critical-mass interest found
25
```

Q. Okay. If you can also go to paragraph 221 there it says, "A university that uses its admission system to pursue quotas or proportional representation of racial or ethnic groups either in the entering class or in the overall student body violates the Fourteenth Amendment and therefore violates Title VI." Did I read that correctly?

A. Yes.

2.0

- Q. And then in paragraph 22 -- 222 if you can read that for -- for the record, please.
- A. So reading for the record as oral, "Remarkable stability of UT Austin's admissions figures for African-American students demonstrates that UT Austin is seeking proportional representation of African-Americans and is engaged in racial balancing."
- Q. So am I correct to understand -- I -- I don't see anything else there and you can finish reading these other paragraphs here, but am I correct to understand that SFFA's racial balancing claim is targeting its treatment of African-American students and not any other group of students including Hispanic students?

MR. MCCARTHY: Objection form. Objection to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion and objection on the grounds that the question is outside the scope of the Court's discovery order. I instruct

1 the witness not to answer the question. 2 MR. HINOJOSA: This is entirely not. I'm 3 trying to figure out whether or not he has a particular 4 claim of racial balancing against Hispanics. How can I argue whether or not he has standing -- his members have 5 had standing in that particular claim without clarifying 6 that the racial balancing claim pertains to only African-American students. 8 MR. MCCARTHY, David, this has nothing to 9 10 do with standing. I can't help you if you don't 11 understand the standing analysis, but I'm gonna instruct 12 the witness not to answer the question because it is not 13 within the scope of the Court's discovery order. 14 (BY MR. HINOJOSA) Are you gonna take your 15 counsel's advice? On advice of counsel, I am unable to answer 16 Α. 17 your question. 18 MR. HINOJOSA: All right. And I'll certify this question and the other questions that the 19 2.0 witness is refusing to testify rather than calling up 21 the judge right now and getting on the phone because 22 this -- we're -- we're gonna just, you know, just go ahead and proceed with this deposition, but I am gonna 23

questions and to recall them into the deposition at

each of these

24

25

reserve the right to ask

February 26, 2021 Page 150

1 | another time.

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

2 MR. MCCARTHY: We understand that David, 3 I said that at the outset of the top. We have an 4 agreement here that the parties all agreed to do. That if we instruct the witness not to answer and the witness 5 abides by that instruction and you'd like to take up 6 with the Court -- or afterwards you can take it up with the Court if you need to then and we recognize that that means that you may have the right to recall the witness. 9 10 Pursuant to that agreement let's go ahead and if I instruct the witness not to answer and the witness 11 12 sticks with that instruction you can deal with it after the fact. 13

- Q. (BY MR. HINOJOSA) In that particular

  Paragraph 222 of Exhibit 031, the amended complaint.

  Can you tell me what the basis is that you believe that

  UT Austin is seeking proportional representation of

  African-Americans and is engaged in racial balancing?

  MR. MCCARTHY: Objection form. Objection

  to the extent it calls for communications between

  communications of counsel and again, objection on the

  grounds that the question's outside the scope of the

  Court's discovery order. I instruct the witness not to

  answer the question.
  - Q. (BY MR. HINOJOSA) are you not going

to answer the question? 1 2 Α. Mr. Hinojosa on advice of counsel I am not 3 going to answer the question. MR. HINOJOSA: We'll certify that 4 5 question too. (BY MR. HINOJOSA) Are there any facts that 6 Ο. you're aware of right now, outside of the allegations, the complaint that support that you're aware of that support your claim of racial balancing against UT 9 10 Austin? 11 MR. MCCARTHY: Objection. Outside the 12 scope of the Court's discovery order. I instruct the 13 witness not to answer the question. THE WITNESS: On advice of counsel I'm 14 15 not going to answer the question. MR. HINOJOSA: All right. Certify that 16 17 question too. 18 (BY MR. HINOJOSA) Are you suggesting that UT 19 Austin should be admitting fewer African-Americans into UT Austin? 2.0 21 Objection form. Objection MR. MCCARTHY: 22 outside the scope of the Court's discovery order and I 23 instruct the witness not to answer the question. 24 THE WITNESS: On advice of counsel, Mr.

Hinojosa, I'm not going to answer that question.

25

```
1
                   MR. HINOJOSA: All right. Certify that
 2
    question too.
                   MR. MCCARTHY: David, none of this has
 3
    anything to do with standing or red judicata.
 4
 5
                   MR. HINOJOSA:
                                 Well, I'm sorry you
    understand it, but --
 6
 7
                   MR. MCCARTHY: David, you're basically
    harassing the witness now.
8
 9
                   MR. HINOJOSA: I'm not harassing the
10
    witness and as a matter of fact, what's -- what's
    happening here, Mr. McCarthy, is that you're harassing
11
12
    me as cocounsel and I would appreciate it if you could,
13
    you know, afford me the proper decorum as we're trying
    to investigate these stark allegations that in the end
14
15
    are aimed at suppressing admission into UT for a highly
    talented black and Latin X students.
16
17
                   MR. MCCARTHY: Mr. -- counsel, if you
18
    want to investigate these allegations the proper time to
19
    do that is in discovery of the merits. It's not right
20
    now during the deposition --
21
                   MR. HINOJOSA: And this is -- this wholly
22
    touches upon whether or not your client, SFFA has been
23
    harmed by the racial balancing, whether or not that harm
24
    is causally related to the actions of UT and whether or
    not they would -- their entries would actually be
25
```

1 redressed. And the difficulty that you've placed here 2 is us being able to just venture to guess, you know, 3 some of these -- what we think are fairly obvious, but not clear questions and try to clarify those things up 4 as we submit our brief to the Court and, you know, it's 5 apparent that you're gonna be obtrusive, you know, to 6 that and should we need to take this to the Court, we'll take this to the Court. 8 9 MR. MCCARTHY: I'm not going to try to 10 tell you how to litigate your case, if you'd like to 11 take these things up with the Court you should feel free to take them there. 12 (BY MR. HINOJOSA) So -- so what facts do you 13 14 have available that demonstrate that 15 and SFFA members, would have been admitted if UT had not racially balanced? 16 17 Objection form. Objection MR. MCCARTHY: 18 outside the scope of the Court's discovery order. 19 instruct the witness not to answer the question. 2.0 THE WITNESS: On advice of counsel, I'm not going to answer the question. 21 (BY MR. HINOJOSA) So can you tell me how 22 SFFA's members for which it's seeking relief in this 23 case for how they've 24 and been harmed by UT's racial balancing of black students? 25

1 MR. MCCARTHY: Objection form. Objection 2 to the extent it calls for legal conclusion. 3 THE WITNESS: I think Mr. McCarthy has also perhaps forgot to remind me that I'm not to answer 4 5 this question; is that correct, Mr. McCarthy? MR. MCCARTHY: I don't know if you can 6 answer without, you know, drawing a legal conclusion. 7 It's an objective form. I stand by those objections. 9 THE WITNESS: Mr. Hinojosa, I'm unable to 10 answer that question. (BY MR. HINOJOSA) Why is that? 11 Ο. 12 Α. On advice of Mr. McCarthy. 13 Mr. McCarthy's only advising you if you can't 14 state that without a legal conclusion. 15 Α. I -- I should have been more fulsome. I am unable to answer that question without stating a legal 16 17 conclusion. 18 (BY MR. HINOJOSA) What are the facts known to 19 you that demonstrate that and 2.0 have been harmed by the racial balancing of African-American students at UT as you 21 22 allege in your complaint? 23 MR. MCCARTHY: Objection form. Objection 24 outside the scope of the Court's discovery order. Ι instruct the witness not to answer the question. 25

```
1
                   THE WITNESS: On advice of counsel, I'm
 2
    -- I'm not going to answer that guestion.
 3
                   MR. HINOJOSA: So -- so I just want to
   make this clear Mr. McCarthy. In a case where we have
 4
   to demonstrate that your clients -- with our briefing at
 5
   least -- you'll -- you ultimately have the standing.
 6
   That -- that your gonna instruct your client not to
   witness, I mean, not to answer a question where we're
 8
   merely seeking the facts that they rely upon in
 9
10
   asserting that they've been harmed by the actions that
11
   you complain of? This is your claims.
12
                   MR. MCCARTHY: Counsel, you're asking
   questions about the facts -- factual basis underlining
13
14
   allegations about racial balancing. That doesn't have
15
   anything to do with that.
                   MR. HINOJOSA: It has to do with the
16
17
           I asked specifically about the harm that has been
18
             What facts do they have because I can't find
19
   any in the complaint so I'm trying to figure out how
2.0
          and
                        have been harmed and you're
21
   not even letting him answer any facts. It might be
22
   because you don't have any facts.
                   MR. MCCARTHY: Saying the word --
23
24
                   MR. HINOJOSA: We have the right to
   discover this.
25
```

```
1
                    (Break.)
 2
                   REPORTER:
                              The time is 1:33 p.m. and we
 3
    are on the record.
                                                         I'd
              (BY MR. HINOJOSA) All right.
 4
 5
    like for you to go to Page 21 of Exhibit 031 which is
    the amended complaint.
 6
 7
                    (Exhibit No. 031, marked.)
                   THE WITNESS:
                                  Hold on Mr. Hinojosa, I
 8
    think I'm gonna have to load that again, just a second.
 9
10
                   MR. HINOJOSA:
                                   Sure.
11
                   THE WITNESS:
                                  Something's not right.
12
    Okav.
         I think I'm at it now. Would you repeat the page
13
    or paragraph again, please.
14
              (BY MR. HINOJOSA) Sure.
         Ο.
                                        Page 21,
15
    Paragraph 111.
16
         Α.
                     I'm at that paragraph.
              Okay.
17
              And I wanted to shift gears for the racial
         Ο.
18
    balancing claim now to the race-neutral alternative
19
    claim that you have and in Paragraph 11, it seems that
2.0
    SFFA's contention here is that you UT can increase the
21
    use of the top ten percent plan and admit the remaining
22
    25 percent through race-neutral means, is that -- is
    that consistent with your contentions in this case?
23
24
                   MR. MCCARTHY:
                                   Objection form.
25
                   THE WITNESS:
                                  The paragraph says what it
```

1 says. I stand by the wording in the paragraph.

Q. (BY MR. HINOJOSA) Yeah, and what I'm trying to make sure that I understand is that you're essentially saying that 75 percent cap could be listed -- could be lifted and you could just submit all through to the top ten percent; is that correct?

MR. MCCARTHY: Objection on the grounds of this is outside the Court's discovery order. I instruct the witness not to the answer the question.

THE WITNESS: Based upon advice of counsel, I'm not going to answer the question Mr.

12 | Hinojosa.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HINOJOSA: All right. Here we go 14 again.

Q. (BY MR. HINOJOSA) In the next section going over to Page 22 this is titled UT Austin Has Additional Race-neutral Alternatives Available That Can Achieve Racial Diversity.

- A. I'm -- I'm at that spot.
- Q. All right. And in paragraph 114, it says "UT Austin could achieve racial diversity by employing race-neutral alternatives in addition to the top ten percent plan." Did I read that correctly?
  - A. You've read it correctly.
  - Q. And in the next paragraph it says, "UT Austin

1 could -- also could achieve racial diversity by 2 eliminating the top ten percent plan and adopting race-neutral admissions policies." Did I read that 3 correctly? 4 You left out the word new. 5 Α. Okay. New race-neutral admissions 6 Ο. New. Sorry about that. So I'm wondering what these new race-neutral admissions policies you're referring to? 9 10 MR. MCCARTHY: Objection. Outside the 11 Court's discovery order. I instruct the witness not to answer the question. 12 THE WITNESS: On advice of counsel, I'm 13 14 not going to answer the question. 15 Ο. (BY MR. HINOJOSA) Can you tell me how the adoption of new race-neutral admissions policies as you 16 17 contend here in 115, can you tell me how that would help 18 address the injury of SFFA's associational members as 19 alleged in its race-neutral claim? 2.0 MR. MCCARTHY: Objection form. Objection to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion. 21 Objection outside of the scope of the Court's discovery 22 order and I instruct the witness not to answer the 23

THE WITNESS: Based on advice of counsel,

24

25

question.

1 | I am not going to answer the question.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Q. (BY MR. HINOJOSA) Can you tell me the facts underlying your content -- or -- answer me this first.

Do you contend that if UT Austin engages in race-neutral

alternatives as you have requested in your own complaint

that the associational members mainly being

and , would then be admitted?

MR. MCCARTHY: Objection form. Objection calls for a legal conclusion. Objection it is outside the Court's discovery order. I instruct the witness not to answer the question.

THE WITNESS: Based on advice of counsel,

I'm not going to answer the question.

MR. MCCARTHY: Counsel, the 30 B6 notice did not advise SFFA that anybody intended to read throughout the entirety of the complaint.

MR. HINOJOSA: Oh, of course it doesn't, but it does talk about the claims.

MR. MCCARTHY: Right.

MR. HINOJOSA: And we -- and we mentioned that early on just so I -- just so we all had a clear understanding. Standing is about satisfying the three bullets for each of the claims that you're seeking including the relief. How you expect us to be able to, you know, discuss with the Court how they're not harmed

```
1
   by this, how they're not injured by these claims that
 2
    SFFA itself has raised without even giving us an
 3
    opportunity to discover any underlying facts of these
 4
    claims is -- again, you know, that's your position and
    you can defend that with the Court. I'm happy with
 5
    pursuing that with the Court, but these are all relevant
 6
    and I'm gonna keep asking you know these questions as
    they are entirely relevant to us being able to establish
    that SFFA does not have standing.
 9
10
                   MR. MCCARTHY:
                                  As I said before, your
11
    questions go to the merits. We'll continue to object
    and instruct (audio indiscernible) basically dredge up
12
13
    different paragraphs and the merits, allegations and ask
14
    for factual basis underlying them and that kind of
15
    thing. Now, the paragraphs in the complaint that really
16
    are about standing are the paragraphs from three to
17
    eight at the front end.
18
                   MR. HINOJOSA: Well, I appreciate that.
19
    Thanks very much Mr. McCarthy, I'm sorry that I see it
20
    differently thank you because that -- there are no
21
    claims there.
                   Imagine that.
22
                   MR. MCCARTHY:
                                  I'm sorry. I'm trying to
    help you out David.
23
24
                   MR. HINOJOSA:
                                  Of course you are.
25
         Q.
              (BY MR. HINOJOSA) In paragraph 116, SFFA
```

1 contends that "Available race-neutral alternatives including, but are not limited to increased use of non-2 racial preferences." Can you identify more specifically 3 what those use of non-racial preferences are? 4 MR. MCCARTHY: Objection. Outside the 5 scope of the Court's discovery order and I instruct the 6 witness not to answer the question. THE WITNESS: On advice of counsel, I'm 8 not going to answer the question. 9 (BY MR. HINOJOSA) All right. Can you tell me 10 Ο. how SFFA and its associational members have been harmed 11 by the failure of UT to increase the use of nonracial 12 13 preferences as its alleged in its complaint? 14 MR. MCCARTHY: Objection. Outside the 15 scope of the Court's discovery order and I instruct the witness not to answer the question. 16 17 THE WITNESS: Once again, on advice of 18 counsel, I'm not going to answer the question. 19 Ο. (BY MR. HINOJOSA) In the second clause of 2.0 paragraph 116 of Exhibit 031 it states there that, "As 21 an available race-neutral alternative the increased use of financial aid, scholarships, and recruitment to 22 attract and enroll minority applicants." Can you tell 23 me the underlying facts of how SFFA's associational 24 25 members have been harmed by the failure of UT to

increase the use of financial aid and those other items 1 that I just mentioned? 2 Objection. Outside the 3 MR. MCCARTHY: scope of the Court's discovery order. 4 I instruct the 5 witness not to answer the question. THE WITNESS: On advice of counsel, I'm 6 not going to answer the question. (BY MR. HINOJOSA) The third clause it says, 8 Ο. "Available race-neutral alternatives under included the 9 10 elimination of admissions policies and practices that operate to the disadvantage of minority applicants." 11 Can you tell me what those admissions policies and 12 13 practices are that operate to the disadvantage of minority applicants? 14 15 MR. MCCARTHY: Objection form. Objection. Outside the scope of the Court's discovery 16 17 order. I instruct the witness not to answer the 18 question. 19 THE WITNESS: On advice of counsel, I'm 20 not going to answer the question. 21 (BY MR. HINOJOSA) Do you contend that SFFA's 22 associational members have been harmed by UTs failure to engage in the elimination of admissions policies and 23 24 practices that operate to the disadvantage of minority 25 applicants?

```
1
                   MR. MCCARTHY:
                                  Objection form.
 2
    Objection.
                Outside the Court's discovery order and I
 3
    instruct the witness not to answer the question.
                   THE WITNESS: On advice of counsel, I'm
 4
 5
    not going to answer the question.
              (BY MR. HINOJOSA) Is it your contention that
 6
         Ο.
    UT Austin's failure to engage in race-neutral
    alternatives as you've alleged in your amended complaint
    has caused injury to the plaintiff's associational
 9
10
    members?
                   MR. MCCARTHY: Objection form. Objection
11
    to the extent it calls for legal conclusion.
12
    outside the scope of the Court's discovery order.
13
14
    instruct the witness not to answer the question.
15
                   MR. HINOJOSA: And -- and just for
    clarity --
16
17
                   THE WITNESS: On the advice of counsel --
18
                   MR. HINOJOSA: Go ahead.
19
                   THE WITNESS: On advice of counsel, I'm
2.0
    not going to answer the question.
21
                   MR. HINOJOSA: And just for clarity, I'm
22
    not asking you for a legal conclusion. I'm asking you
    simply whether or not it's your contention. Do you
23
24
    still refuse to answer the question.
                   MR. MCCARTHY: His instruction --
25
```

1 THE WITNESS: On advice on counsel, I'm 2 not going to be answering the question. 3 Ο. (BY MR. HINOJOSA) Can you tell us here any 4 facts that support how the consideration of any 5 race-neutral alternatives that you proposed in your complaint would help make the -- SFFA's associational 6 members whole if they adopted those race-neutral alternatives? 8 MR. MCCARTHY: Objection form. Objection 9 10 outside the scope of the Court's discovery order and I 11 instruct the witness not to answer the question. THE WITNESS: On advice of counsel I 12 13 won't be answering the question. 14 (BY MR. HINOJOSA) Do you agree with me that if 15 SSFA -- excuse me. Let me restate that. That if UT 16 Austin lifted the cap the 75 percent cap that your 17 associational members being and 18 would still not get into UT because they didn't 19 rank in the top ten percent? 2.0 MR. MCCARTHY: Objection form. Objection to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion and 21 objection. Outside the scope of the Court's discovery 22 order and I instruct the witness not to answer the 23 24 question. THE WITNESS: On advice of counsel I won't 25

```
1
   be answering the question.
 2
         Q.
              (BY MR. HINOJOSA) I'm gonna go to Page 43,
 3
    Paragraph 198 and this is Count 1.
 4
                   MR. MCCARTHY:
                                   I'm sorry.
   paragraph?
 5
                   MR. HINOJOSA:
                                  198. Hold on right quick.
 6
    It's paragraph 199 on Page 40.
 8
         Α.
              Okay.
              (BY MR. HINOJOSA) Just go ahead and read those
 9
10
    first two paragraphs to yourself, paragraphs 199 and
11
    200.
12
         Α.
              I've read them.
13
              In paragraph 201 it states, "That statistical
14
    and other evidence shows that UT Austin can no longer
15
    justify using race at all or at least must justify it
    differently, which it has failed to do so." Can you
16
17
    tell me what that statistical and other evidence is that
18
    you're referring to in paragraph 201?
19
                   MR. MCCARTHY: Objection outside the
2.0
    scope of the Court's discovery order and I instruct the
21
    witness not to answer the question. I also want to add
22
                   THE WITNESS: On advice of counsel, I
23
24
    won't be -- I won't be answering.
```

25

MR. MCCARTHY: And I'd like to add as I

1 did before that the 30 B6 notice does not suggest -does not indicate that the witness needs to be prepared 2 3 to respond to questions about factual basis underlying 4 all of the various allegations in the complaint. MR. HINOJOSA: It talks about the claims 5 and I tried to talk about the claims. Those -- those 6 are -- those underlying allegations do support the particular claims at issue in this case, especially as 8 I've narrowed those -- those questions to items relevant 9 to harmed causation and redressability that pertain to 10 11 standing in this case. 12 MR. MCCARTHY: I guess we'll disagree on 13 that. 14 MR. HINOJOSA: Sure. 15 MR. MCCARTHY: I'll maintain the objection and I maintain the objection on the scope of 16 17 the discovery order and I maintain the instruction that 18 the witness not answer the question. 19 Ο. (BY MR. HINOJOSA) In Paragraph 201, as I just 2.0 mentioned, are there any facts that you alleged in your complaint that refer to that statistical and other --21 other evidence showing that UT could no longer justify 22 23 using race at all? Or at least must justify it 24 differently which it has failed to do so. Can you 25 refer me to any of the allegations in your complaint

1 that support that? 2 MR. MCCARTHY: Objection. Outside the 3 scope of the Court's discovery order. I instruct the 4 witness not to answer the question. THE WITNESS: On advice of counsel I 5 won't be answering that question. 6 (BY MR. HINOJOSA) In the next paragraph I'll Ο. let you go ahead and read that to yourself, but it also talks about statistical and other evidence shows that 10 each applicant is not evaluated as an individual instead race or ethnicity is the defining feature of the 11 application and I'll go ahead and let you finish reading 12 that to yourself. 13 14 I've completed it. Α. 15 Ο. Can you tell me what statistical and other evidence shows that each applicant is not evaluated as 16 17 an individual? 18 MR. MCCARTHY: Objection. Outside the 19 scope of the Court's discovery order and I instruct the 20 witness not to answer the question. 21 THE WITNESS: On advice of counsel I 22 won't be answering that question. 23 (BY MR. HINOJOSA) Can you tell me any Ο.

underlying facts that support your claim as described in

24

25

Paragraph 202?

1 MR. MCCARTHY: Objection. Outside the 2 scope of the Court's discovery order and I instruct the 3 witness not to answer the question. THE WITNESS: On advice of counsel, I 4 won't be answering that question. 5 (BY MR. HINOJOSA) Paragraph 203 states 6 Ο. "Plaintiff's members have been and will continue to be 7 injured because UT Austin's intentionally discriminatory 8 admissions policies and procedures continued to deny 9 10 them the opportunity to compete for admission to UT 11 Austin and equal footing with other applicants on the basis of race or etnicity." Can you tell me how -- what 12 facts support your contention that plaintiff's members 13 have been injured? 14 Objection 15 MR. MCCARTHY: Objection form. to the extent it calls for legal conclusion. 16 17 otherwise answer his allegation as his allegation is 18 about standing. 19 THE WITNESS: Let me read it again. 20 we're on number 203. Mr. Hinojosa would you restate 21 your question for me, please? (BY MR. HINOJOSA) Sure. How has plaintiff's 22 members been injured because UT Austin's intentional 23 24 discriminatory admissions and policies continue to deny

them the opportunity to compete for admission as you

25

1 | allege here in Paragraph 203?

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

A. Their race and ethnicity -- I'm sorry. Go ahead Mr. McCarthy.

MR. MCCARTHY: Objection form. Objection to the extent it calls for legal conclusion. I'm sorry you can go ahead and answer to the extent you can abide those instructions.

THE WITNESS: Mr. McCarthy would you state your objection once more so that I can -- I can frame my answer or not frame my answer around your objection.

MR. MCCARTHY: Sure. Objection form.

Objection to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion.

THE WITNESS: Mr. Hinojosa my answer would entail a legal conclusion, so therefore based upon this -- the advice of counsel just now I'm going to be unable to answer that question.

Q. (BY MR. HINOJOSA) And I am not asking you here or in any other questions that I've asked you about you forming a legal conclusion. So if you can put that aside which you should be able to because your counsel cannot instruct you to not answer a question based on a legal conclusion. I want to know what the facts are that you can tell me about how plaintiff's members have been or will continue to be injured as you allege in

1 testify to as the plaintiff in this case, but sure we 2 can --MR. MCCARTHY: And the 30 B 6 notice did 3 4 not indicate that every paragraph of the complaint was going to be the subject of this deposition nor would it 5 be given ordnance in the Court's discovery (audio 6 indiscernible) and the witness is able to answer the question without learning a (audio indiscernible) 8 Court's discovery order or other relevant privileges 9 10 then witness can answer. 11 MR. HINOJOSA: I'm just trying to follow 12 up with some questions here. 13 (BY MR. HINOJOSA) So Page 18 of the complaint 14 has some charts. 15 Α. Τ see that. 16 Ο. Okay. And actually lets -- let's go to 17 Page 21. Sorry about that. It's Paragraph 109. And do 18 you see that chart there that's titled or the table 19 that's titled --2.0 Α. I do. 21 -- 2019 admitted students from Texas by race 22 and automatic admissions status? 23 Α. I do. 24 And it says that students admitted via the top Q. 25 ten percent plan are 29 percent white. Students

1 admitted outside the top ten percent plan are 42 percent 2 white. Do you see that? 3 Α. I do. So you would agree with me that students 4 5 admitted outside the top ten percent plan are whiter on average than students under the top ten percent plan, 6 7 correct? Objection form. 8 MR. MCCARTY: Correct, I'm sorry. 9 THE WITNESS: 10 Q. (BY MR. HINOJOSA) And yet your allegation is 11 that these associational members are injured because of UT's consideration of race for those students admitted 12 13 outside the top two percent plan; is that correct? 14 MR. MCCARTHY: Objection form. Objection 15 on the grounds the question is outside the scope of the Court's discovery order and I instruct the witness not 16 17 to answer the question. 18 THE WITNESS: On advice of counsel I 19 won't be answering this question. 2.0 Q. (BY MR. HINOJOSA) Going to Page 49 of the complaint are you there? 21 22 Α. Yes, I am now. 23 Do you understand that this paragraphs --Ο. 24 following paragraph or this section following paragraph 244 is the relief that you have asked the 25

Court to issue in this case?

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- A. I understand that to be the case.
- Q. And under standing rules I'll just say this is a preface so that your counsel can understand that you know there's Supreme Court case law that says we're allowed to discover standing as it pertains to each claim and claim for relief in this case so that's why I'm gonna ask you, you know, these few questions here.

Do you understand that other universities that don't use a race-conscious policy still allow students to lift up their racial identity and their racial experiences in an application. Do you just understand that generally?

MR. MCCARTHY: Objection form. Objection outside the scope of the Court's discovery order. I instruct the witness not to answer the question.

THE WITNESS: On advice of counsel I won't be answering the question.

- Q. (BY MR. HINOJOSA) And you're asking here under letter C a permanent injunction barring defendants from using race as a factor in future undergraduate admissions decisions; is that correct?
- A. The paragraph reads that way -- let me read it so I -- I won't misrepresent it. "A permanent injunction barring dependents from using race as a

1 factor in future undergraduate admissions decisions at 2 UT Austin."

- Q. Under D, you asked for "A permanent injunction requiring defendants to conduct all admissions in a manner that does not permit those engaged in the decisional process to be aware of or learn the race or ethnicity of any applicant for admission." Did I read that correctly?
  - A. You read it correctly.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Q. So can you tell me about this more specific relief why you think that censoring applications of students from even -- from as you alleged here, you know, officials who are engaged in the decisional process to be aware of or learn the race or ethnicity of any applicant for admission, how that would make the plaintiffs whole in this case?

MR. MCCARTHY: Objection form. Objection outside the scope of the Court's discovery order. I instruct the witness not to answer the question.

THE WITNESS: On advice of counsel, I'm not going to answer the question.

Q. (BY MR. HINOJOSA) So can you tell me any facts
-- again, I'm not asking for a legal conclusion. Just
any facts that you are aware of that would demonstrate
-- hold on. Let me rephrase that. Can you tell me any

```
1
    facts -- let me ask you another question.
                                                Are you
 2
    requesting that the Court censor applications in this
 3
    case?
                   MR. MCCARTHY: Objection form. Objection
 4
 5
    outside the scope of the Court's discovery order.
    instruct the witness not to answer the question.
 6
                   THE WITNESS: On advice of counsel I
 7
    won't answer the question.
              (BY MR. HINOJOSA) Are you asking under your
 9
10
    claim of relief here under D are you asking the Court
    that it should not allow students to discuss their
11
12
    racial identity and their racial experiences in their
    application for admissions?
13
14
                                  Objection form. Objection
                   MR. MCCARTHY:
15
    outside the scope of the Court's discovery order.
                                                        Ι
    instruct the witness not to answer the question.
16
17
                   THE WITNESS: On advice of counsel I
18
    won't answer the question.
19
         Ο.
              (BY MR. HINOJOSA) Putting aside the use of
2.0
    race as a factor in admissions -- put that aside.
21
    you tell me how the student associational members of
22
    SFFA are harmed by those engaged in the decisional
    process being aware of or learning the race or ethnicity
23
24
    of any applicant for admission?
25
                                  Objection form.
                   MR. MCCARTHY:
                                                   Objection
```

1 to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion. 2 Q. (BY MR. HINOJOSA) And I'm not asking for a legal conclusion. 3 4 MR. MCCARTHY: I stand by my objection. 5 THE WITNESS: Mr. McCarthy are you -- are you objecting to my answering this question? 6 7 MR. MCCARTHY: I'm not instructing you not to answer the question. I'm objecting to the form 9 and I'm objecting to the extent it calls for a legal 10 conclusion. You may answer consistent with that 11 quidance. 12 THE WITNESS: Mr. Hinojosa would you ask 13 your question once again? 14 MR. HINOJOSA: Ms. Hoffman, would you do 15 me the favor. 16 Yes. Give me one second. REPORTER: 17 MR. HINOJOSA: Should begin with putting 18 aside the consideration of race. (Read back last question.) 19 2.0 MR. MCCARTHY: Objection form. And actually I'll object on the grounds it's outside the 21 22 scope of the Court's discovery order. I instruct the 23 witness not to answer. 24 THE WITNESS: On advice of counsel, I -- I won't be answering the question. 25

1 MR. HINOJOSA: Just give me a second. We 2 can go off the record for a minute. 3 MR. MCCARTHY: Okay. REPORTER: The time is 2:11 p.m. and we 4 are off the record. 5 (Break.) 6 7 The time is 2:14 p.m. and we REPORTER: are back on the record. (BY MR. HINOJOSA) Yeah, I just want to make it 9 10 clear for the record that the student intervenors do 11 intend to, you know, pursue each of the questions that was not answered here under the advice of counsel and 12 13 that in no -- in none of those questions were asking for 14 a legal conclusion and we understand the rules of court 15 you do not permit a witness to refuse to answer solely because a question is objective to -- on those grounds 16 17 and on any other grounds outside of, you know, the 18 specific instructions of counsel and to the extent that 19 we need to certify you know those questions we would 2.0 certify each and every one of those questions as presented here on the record. No further questions. 21 22 MR. MCCARTHY: We understand your 23 position and the parties can proceed accordingly. 24 me take a short break to see if there'll be any redirect and, you know, give me maybe five minutes if we have 25

```
much it won't be long, but give me five minutes to think
 1
 2
    through it and then we'll get back on the record, is
    that okay for everybody?
 3
 4
                   MR. POWERS: That's fine.
 5
                   REPORTER: The time is 2:16 p.m. and we
    are off the record.
 6
 7
                   (Break.)
 8
                   REPORTER: The time is 2:22 p.m. and we
 9
    are back on the record.
10
                   MR. MCCARTHY: SFFA has no questions for
11
    the witness.
                  There'll be no redirect.
12
                   MR. POWERS: Nothing further. Thanks
13
    everyone.
14
                   MR. MCCARTHY:
                                  Thank you.
15
                   REPORTER: All right. Before we go off
16
    the record, can I get any transcript orders?
17
                   MR. MCCARTHY:
                                  Sure.
18
                   MR. POWERS: We'll repeat our order from
    last time.
19
20
                   MR. MCCARTHY: Yeah, we'll do the same.
21
                   THE WITNESS:
                                 Tom, hold on. I just want
22
    to go to your office and clarify one thing.
23
                   VIDEOGRAPHER: Counsel, do we have video
24
    orders?
25
                   MR. HINOJOSA: Carter?
```

1 MS. SIMPSON: Yep. We will -- we'll be 2 emailing about getting a transcript as soon as a non-rush becomes available. 3 VIDEOGRAPHER: And did anybody have video 4 5 orders? 6 MR. POWERS: I'm sure we do. 7 Sorry everyone just the THE WITNESS: 8 worry wart in me. MR. MCCARTHY: Same order as normal for 9 10 I don't even know what it was. Somebody else is in 11 charge of making the orders so whatever we did last time is fine. 12 13 REPORTER: All right. And the time is 14 2:23 p.m. and we are off the record. 15 (Off the record at 2:23 p.m.) 16 17 18 19 2.0 21 22 23 24 25 WITNESS NAME:

CONFIDENTIAL

February 26, 2021 Page 185

1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AUSTIN DIVISION
3	Students For Fair )
4	Admissions, INC., )
5	Plaintiff, ) CIVIL ACTION
6	VS. ) NO: 1:20 cv 00763 RP
7	UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT ) AUSTIN, ET AL., )
8	Defendants.
9	berendanes.
10	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
11	OF
12	
13	I, Miah Hoffman, CSR, do hereby certify that the
14	foregoing deposition is a full, true and correct
15	transcript;
16	That the foregoing deposition of Witness,
17	, the Witness, hereinbefore named was at the time
18	named, taken by me in oral stenograph on February 26,
19	2021, the said Witness having been by me first duly
20	cautioned and sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth,
21	and nothing but the truth, and the same were thereafter
22	reduced to typewriting by me or under my direction. The
23	charge for the completed deposition is \$ due from
24	Defendant;
25	( ) That pursuant to the Federal Rules of

CONFIDENTIAL

February 26, 2021 Page 186

1	Civil procedure, the Witness shall have 30 days after
2	being notified by certified mail, return receipt
3	requested, by the deposition officer that the original
4	deposition transcript is available in her office for
5	review and signature by the Witness and if any
6	corrections made are attached hereto;
7	( ) That by agreement of counsel, a reading
8	condensed copy of the deposition transcript along with
9	the full-sized original Changes and Signature Sheet has
10	been sent to on for review
11	and signature within 30 days and if any corrections
12	returned are attached hereto;
13	( ) That by agreement of counsel, the
14	deposition officer is instructed to release the original
15	deposition transcript to
16	on for review and
17	signature, and the deposition officer is thereafter
18	released of any further responsibility with regard to
19	the original;
20	( ) That the witness shall have thirty (30)
21	days for review and signature of the original transcript
22	and if any corrections returned are attached hereto;
23	( ) That the signed transcript ( ) was ( ) was
24	not received from the Witness within 30 days;
25	( ) That the examination and signature of the

CONFIDENTIAL

February 26, 2021 Page 187

Witness is waived by the Witness and the parties; That the amount of time used by each party at 2 the deposition is as follows: 3 Mr. Powers: 03 HOURS:31 MINUTE(S) 4 Mr. Hinojosa: 01 HOURS:24 MINUTE(S) Mr. McCarthy: 00 HOURS:00 MINUTE(S) 5 I further certify that I am neither counsel for, 6 7 related to, nor employed by any of the parties or attorneys in this action in which this proceeding was 8 9 taken, and further that I am not financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action. 10 2nd day of March, 2021. Certified to by me this 11 12 13 14 MIAH HOFFMAN, CSR 11773 15 Expiration Date: 2/28/2023 Firm Registration No. # 631 16 Kim Tindall & Associates, LLC 16414 San Pedro, Suite 900 17 San Antonio, Texas 78232 Phone 210 697 3400 18 Fax 210 697 3408 19 20 21 22 23 24 25